## Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)\*

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/srqr/

### Page/line no(s).

and abstract	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	P1 line 1
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	P1 line 4

#### Introduction

<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	P2 line 43-72
<b>Purpose or research questio</b> n - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	P2 line 81-86

# Methods

<b>Qualitative approach and research paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative researc and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	
<b>Researcher characteristics and reflexivity</b> - Researchers' characteristics that m influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	e, P3 line 89-93
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	P3 line 89-93
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e sampling saturation); rationale**	
<b>Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by a appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lact thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	<b>—</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection an analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	

<b>Data collection instruments and technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	P4 line 103-108
<b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	P4 line 103-108
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	P4 line 124-145
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	P4 line 124-145
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	P4 line 124-145

#### **Results/findings**

<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	P5 line 147-157
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	P5 line 158-228

#### Discussion

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	P8 line 232-302
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	P10 line 303-314

#### Other

<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Title page
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Title page

\*The authors created the SRQR by searching the literature to identify guidelines, reporting standards, and critical appraisal criteria for qualitative research; reviewing the reference lists of retrieved sources; and contacting experts to gain feedback. The SRQR aims to improve the transparency of all aspects of qualitative research by providing clear standards for reporting qualitative research.

\*\*The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method, or technique rather than other options available, the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices, and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate, the rationale for several items might be discussed together.

#### Reference:

O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. **Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations.** *Academic Medicine*, Vol. 89, No. 9 / Sept 2014 DOI: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000388