The Swedish version of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Quality of Life Measure (ACL-QOL): translation and measurement properties

S.R Filbay, H Tigerstrand Grevnerts, S Sonesson, H Hedevik, J Kvist

Online Resource 2. Psychometric properties for the Swedish ACL-QOL 33-item Version (including additional item)

Participant characteristics

Table 1. Participant characteristics

	≤ 1.5 year f	ollow-up	2-10 year fo	llow-up	15-25 year f	ollow-up	>30 year fo	ollow-up	Total	
	Surgical	Non-	Surgical	Non-	Surgical	Non-	Surgical	Non-	Surgical	Non-
	(n=598)	surgical (n=339)	(n=370)	surgical (n=121)	(n=42)	surgical (n=35)	(n=112)	surgical (n=66)	(n=1122)	surgical (n=561)
(%) female	384 (64%)	173 (51%)	197 (53%)	56 (46%) ⁰	12 (29%) ⁰	12 (34%) ⁰	29 (26%)	19 (29%) ⁰	622 (55%)	260 (47%)
Age at follow-up (years)	24 (7) ²	27 (8) 5	27 (8) 1	31 (9) ⁰	45 (5) ⁰	43 (4) 0	59 (6) ⁰	59 (7) ⁰	29 (13) ³	33 (13) ⁵
Symptoms/physical domain	81 (16) 4	70 (19) ⁰	78 (18) ⁴	76 (20) ⁰	82 (19) 1	81 (18) 1	78 (20) ¹	76 (20) ⁰	80 (17) 10	72 (20) ¹
Work-related domain	69 (23) ⁵⁰	58 (26) 11	72 (23) ²⁴	70 (25) 7	80 (20) 0	81 (21) 3	74 (23) 14	77 (22) 8	71 (23) 88	64 (26) ²⁹
Recreational/sports domain	56 (25) ⁸	39 (23) ⁵	59 (24) ²	50 (25) ¹	67 (23) ⁰	60 (31) 1	59 (28) ⁰	60 (27) ⁰	58 (25) ¹⁰	45 (26) ⁷
Lifestyle domain	65 (23) 11	51 (24) ⁶	69 (23) ³	66 (24) ⁰	79 (20) ⁰	73 (22) ⁰	68 (26) ¹	70 (25) 1	67 (24) ¹⁵	58 (25) ⁷
Social/emotional domain	60 (21) 11	52 (22) ⁷	66 (22) ³	64 (22) ¹	84 (17) 0	78 (22) ⁰	75 (23) ⁰	77 (21) ⁰	64 (22) 14	59 (24) ⁸
ACL-QOL Total Score	64 (20) 15	51 (20) ⁷	66 (20) ⁵	62 (21) 1	76 (18) ⁰	71 (23) ⁰	69 (23) ⁰	69 (22) ⁰	65 (21) ²⁰	57 (22) 8

Descriptives are count (%) or mean (standard deviation); numbers in superscript represent the number of cases with missing data

Reliability

Internal consistency

Table 2. Internal consistency for the 33-item version of the Swedish ACL-QOL

YEARS SINCE ACL INJURY

	All patients	\leq 1.5 years	2-10 years	15-25 years	>30 years
Surgical					
Total ACL-QOL	0.971 (n=1057)	0.970 (n=542)	0.970 (n=340)	0.963 (n=41)	0.980 (n=96)
Social/emotional	0.887 (n=1148)	0.868 (n=587)	0.888 (n=367)	0.857 (n=42)	0.915 (n=112)
Non-surgical					
Total ACL-QOL	0.975 (n=528)	0.971 (n=322)	0.972 (n=112)	0.972 (n=30)	0.977 (n=57)
Social/ emotional	0.906 (n=562)	0.888 (n=332)	0.888 (n=120)	0.879 (n=35)	0.901 (n=66)

Data represents the Cronbach's alpha

Test re-test reliability and measurement error

Table 3. Reliability of the 33-item version of the Swedish ACL-QOL administrated 1-4 weeks between test and retest

ACL-QoL Domain	n	Mean difference	SEM	ICC (95% CI)
		(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
ACL-QoL total score	37	-4.0 (-6.5 to -1.4)	5.4	0.93 (0.87-0.96)
Social and emotional	37	-5.3 (-9.6 to -0.9)	9.4	0.83 (0.70-0.91)

Construct validity

Structural validity

Table 4. Fit indices for confirmatory factor analysis model, for the 33-item version of the Swedish ACL-QOL

Model fit indices		RMSEA (95% CI)	SRMR
Surgical (n=1061)			
Model 1: 1 factor	0.792	0.107 (0.104 to 0.109)	0.063
Model 2A: 2 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport+Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.823	0.099 (0.096 to 0.101)	0.057
Model 2B: 2 factor (Symptoms+Work+Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.808	0.103 (0.100 to 0.105)	0.063
Model 3A: 3 factor (Symptoms+Work+Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.822	0.099 (0.097 to 0.102)	0.062
Model 3B: 3 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.843	0.093 (0.091 to 0.095)	0.056
Model 4A: 4 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.857	0.089 (0.087 to 0.091)	0.055
Model 4B: 4 factor (Symptoms, Work, Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.847	0.092 (0.090 to 0.095)	0.054
Model 5: 5 factor (Symptoms, Work, Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.860	0.088 (0.086 to 0.091)	0.053
Non-surgical (n=534)			
Model 1: 1 factor	0.830	0.101 (0.097 to 0.104)	0.056
Model 2A: 2 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport+Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.859	0.092 (0.089 to 0.095)	0.050
Model 2B: 2 factor (Symptoms+Work+Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.846	0.096 (0.093 to 0.099)	0.056
Model 3A: 3 factor (Symptoms+Work+Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.851	0.095 (0.091 to 0.098)	0.056
Model 3B: 3 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)	0.883	0.084 (0.080 to 0.087)	0.046
Model 4A: 4 factor (Symptoms+Work, Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.889	0.082 (0.078 to 0.085)	0.046
Model 4B: 4 factor (Symptoms, Work, Sport, Lifestyle+SocEmo)		0.082 (0.079 to 0.086)	0.045
Model 5: 5 factor (Symptoms, Work, Sport, Lifestyle, SocEmo)	0.894	0.080 (0.077 to 0.084)	0.044

CFI = comparative fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; SRMR =

Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; A CFI close to 0.95 or higher, RMSEA close to 0.06 or lower, and a SRMR close to 0.08 or lower, are representative of good fitting models

Hypothesis testing

Table 5. Hypothesis testing to evaluate construct validity in the 33-item version of the Swedish ACL-QOL

Hypothesis	Surgical	Non-surgical (n=570)	
	(n=1163)		
The ACL-QOL score should be at least moderately ($r \ge 0.3$),	r=0.70**	r=0.71**	
positively correlated with the PCS SF-36 score	(0.64 to 0.76)	(0.63 to 0.77)	
The ACL-QOL score should be at least moderately ($r \ge 0.3$),	r=0.39**	r=0.33**	
positively correlated with the SF-36 MCS score	(0.30 to 0.49)	(0.15 to 0.49)	
The ACL-QOL score should be at least moderately, positively	r=0.70**	r=0.69**	
correlated with KOOS Pain subscale scores (r≥0.30)	(0.67 to 0.73)	(0.64 to 0.73)	
The ACL-QOL score should be at least moderately, positively	r=0.74**	r=0.76**	
correlated with KOOS Sport/Rec subscale scores (r≥0.30)	(0.71 to 0.77)	(0.70 to 0.81)	
The ACL-QOL score should be at least moderately ($r \ge 0.30$),	r=0.63**	r=0.57**	
positively correlated with the EQ-5D index score	(0.56 to 0.69)	(0.47 to 0.65)	
Patients who were satisfied with current knee function should report better ACL-QOL scores than those who were not (mean ≥10 points)	MD=33	MD=34	
Patients who returned to pre-injury sport should report better ACL-QOL scores than those who did not (mean ≥10 points)	MD=11	MD=28	

Results are reported as r=Pearson correlation (95% CI); MD=Mean difference; * Correlation (r) / Paired complet test (MD) is significant at the 0.01 level (2 to

^{*} Correlation (*r*) / Paired-sample t-test (MD) is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); Confirmation of at least 75% of the predefined hypotheses is considered necessary to represent good construct validity; SF-36=The Optum SFTM Health Surveys SF-36; PCS=Physical Component Score; MCS=Mental Component Score; KOOS=Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; EQ-5D=The EuroQol-5D

Responsiveness

Table 6. Evaluation of responsiveness of the 33-item version of the Swedish ACL-QOL using a construct approach

Hypothesis	Surgical	Non-surgical
Change (3 to 12 months post ACL surgery) in ACL-RSI score should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL score	r = 0.719 95% CI: 0.566 to 0.818 p < .001 n = 62	r = 0.734 95% CI: 0.606 to 0.825 p < .001 n = 73
ACL-QOL scores at 12 months post ACL surgery, should be higher (mean ≥1 points) than ACL-QOL scores at 3 months post ACL surgery	Paired-sample t-test Mean diff = 22.7 95% CI: 19.6 to 25.0 p < .001 n = 107	Paired-sample t-test Mean diff = 13.1 95% CI: 10.0 to 16.1 p < .001 n = 113
Change (3 to 12 months post ACL surgery) in KOOS Pain subscale score should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QoL score	r = 0.401 95% CI: 0.118 to 0.624 p = .007 n = 44	r = 0.713 95% CI: 0.502 to 0.844 p < .001 n = 36
ACL-QOL scores at 6 months post ACL surgery should be higher (mean ≥1 points) than ACL-QOL scores at 6 weeks post ACL surgery	Paired-sample t-test Mean diff = 19.6 95% CI: 15.6 to 23.6 p < .001 n = 40	N/A
Pre-operative ACL-QOL scores should be lower (mean ≥1 points) than ACL-QOL scores 12 months post ACL surgery	Paired-sample t-test Mean diff = 29.9 95% CI: 25.7 to 34.1 p < .001 n = 51	N/A
Change (3 to 12 months post ACL surgery) in the SF-36 Mental Component Score should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL score	r = 0.503 95% CI: 0.222 to 0.706 p = .001 n = 39	N/A
Change (3 to 12 months post ACL surgery) in the SF-36 Physical Component Score should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL score	r = 0.628 95% CI: 0.390 to 0.787 p < .001 n = 39	N/A
Change (1 to 3 months post ACL injury) in IKDC should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL Lifestyle domain		n=158 r=0.36* 0.21 to 0.49
Change (1 to 3 months post ACL injury) in IKDC should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL Social/emotional domain		n=157 r=0.32* 0.17 to 0.45
Change (1 to 12 months post ACL injury) in IKDC should be at least moderately positively correlated ($r > 0.30$) with change in ACL-QOL Lifestyle domain		n=78 r=0.63* 0.47 to 0.75

Change (1 to 12 months post ACL injury) in IKDC
should be at least moderately positively correlated
(r > 0.30) with change in ACL-QOL Social/emotional
domain

n=79 r=0.50* 0.32 to 0.65

Interpretability

Floor and ceiling effects

Table 7. Floor and ceiling effects for the 33-item Swedish ACL-QOL

Count (%)

ACL-QOL Total Score	Lowest possible score	0 (0)
	Highest possible score	19 (1.1)
Social / Emotional Domain Score	Lowest possible score	4 (0.2)
	Highest possible score	81 (4.7)