## Title: Valuation of the EQ-5D-3L in Russia

Vitaly Omelyanovskiy<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ph.D.

Nuriya Musina<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Ph.D.

Svetlana Ratushnyak<sup>1</sup>, M.Sc

Tatyana Bezdenezhnykh<sup>1,2</sup>, M.Sc

Vlada Fediaeva<sup>1,2</sup>, M.Sc

Bram Roudijk<sup>6</sup>, Ph.D.

Fredrick Dermawan Purba<sup>7,8</sup>, Ph.D.

- Center of Healthcare Quality Assessment and Control, Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia
- Financial Research Institute at the Ministry of Finances of Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia
- 3. Russian Medical Academy of Continuous Professional Education of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia
- Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA),
  Moscow, Russia
- 5. Saint Petersburg State Chemical Pharmaceutical Academy, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 6. EuroQol Research Foundation, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 7. Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jatinangor, Indonesia
- 8. Center for Health Technology Assessment, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jatinangor, Indonesia

## **Corresponding author**

Svetlana Ratushnyak

Email: svetlanarshk@gmail.com

Word count: 3998 words

Table 1. Modeling results of composite time trade-off (cTTO) and discrete choice experiments (DCE) data

(DCE) data								
Dimensions with levels	Model 1a: Random intercept (cTTO)		Model 1b: Tobit (cTTO)		Model 1c: heterosked (cTTO)		Model 2: (DCE rescaled¹)	
	$\beta(SE)$	p	$\beta(SE)$	p	$\beta(SE)$	p	$\beta(SE)$	p
Some problems in Mobility	0.038 (0.019)	0.040	0.034	0.088	0.038	0.153	0.066	< 0.001
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	رم مرم ا	(0.020)	-0.001	(0.013)	-0.001	(0.082)	-0.001
Severe problems in Mobility	0.406 (0.018)	<0.001	0.424 (0.019)	<0.001	0.438 (0.023)	<0.001	0.455 (0.115)	<0.001
Some problems in Self-Care	0.069	< 0.001	0.071	< 0.001	0.056	< 0.001	0.076	< 0.001
	(0.017)	0.001	(0.018)	0.001	(0.013)	0.001	(0.073)	0.001
Severe problems in Self-Care	0.284 (0.018)	< 0.001	0.298 (0.019)	<0.001	0.331 (0.021)	<0.001	0.205 (0.094)	<0.001
Some problems	0.072	< 0.001	0.070	< 0.001	0.046	< 0.001	0.074	< 0.001
in Usual Activities	(0.186)		(0.020)		(0.013)		(0.082)	
Severe problems	0.245	< 0.001	0.257	< 0.001	0.262	< 0.001	0.227	< 0.001
in Usual Activities	(0.017)		(0.019)		(0.021)		(0.095)	
Some problems	0.018	0.286	0.016	0.38	0.032	0.016	0.079	< 0.001
in Pain/Discomfort	(0.017)		(0.018)		(0.013)		(0.076)	
Severe problems	0.313	< 0.001	0.325	< 0.001	0.329	< 0.001	0.382	< 0.001
in Pain/Discomfort	(0.178)	0.000	(0.019)	0.004	(0.020)	0.001	(0.109)	0.055
Some problems in	0.022	0.229	0.019	0.326	0.050	< 0.001	0.019	0.257
Anxiety/Depression	(0.018)		(0.02)		(0.014)		(0.090)	
Severe problems	0.157	< 0.001	0.161	< 0.001	0.163	< 0.001	0.177	< 0.001
in	(0.018)		(0.019)		(0.020)		(0.097)	
Anxiety/Depression	0.055	0.026	0.052	0.044	0.007	0.002		
Constant	0.055 (0.024)	0.026	0.053 (0.026)	0.044	0.037 (0.012)	0.002	-	-
Included	3,000		3,000		3,000			
uncensored	3,000 2,789		2,789		2,789		_	
(cTTO) left-	2,789		211		211		_	
censored (cTTO)			-		-		3,000	
dichotomous							- , -	
(DCE)								
Ordering of	MO-PD-SC-		MO-PD-SC-		MO-SC-PD-		MO-PD-UA-	
dimensions	UA-AD		UA-AD		UA-AD		SC-AD	
(cumulative)								
Estimated values								
by health state								
the best state	0.945		0.947		0.963		1	
(11111)	-0.46		-0.518		-0.56		-0.447	
the worst state								
(33333) Madal								
Model	2				1		1	1
performance	2		2		1		1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Coefficients were rescaled using the Theta parameter estimated in the standard hybrid model.

non-significant	0	0	0	0
inconsistency	<u>3,013</u>	3,633	3,142	2,769
AIC	<u>3,091</u>	3,711	3,274	2,836
BIC	0.04817	0.04486	0.04380	0.05741
MAE	0.05555	0.05464	0.05756	0.07983
RMSE				

AD anxiety/depression, AIC Akaike information criteria, BIC Bayesian information criteria, cTTO composite time trade-off, DCE discrete choice experiment, MAE Mean Absolute Error, MO mobility, PD pain and discomfort, RMSE Root Mean Square Error, SC self-care, SE standard error, UA usual activities DCE rescaled