Supplementary Material

Heyder, A., Weidinger, A.F., & Steinmayr, R. (in press). Only a burden for females in math? Gender and domain differences in the relation between adolescents' fixed mindsets and motivation. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*.

Table S1

## Comparison of FA(IR)BULOUS Sample and Population Characteristics

Student variables	Analytical Sample	Population
Female students	48.2%	47.3%
Comprehensive school (Gesamtschule)	30.1%	32.2%
Intermediate track (Realschule)	53.0%	46.9%
Lowest track (Hauptschule)	16.9%	20.9%
German spoken at home	82.0%	73.4%

*Note.* The student population data was provided by the Ministry for School and Further Education North Rhine-Westphalia (MSW, 2017).

## Table S2

Measurement Invariance Tests for Female vs. Male Adolescents' Ability Self-Concept, Intrinsic Motivation and Mindset in Math

		Model fit						Model comparison				
		X²	df	р	CFI	RMSEA [90% CI]	SRMR	СМ	$\Delta X^2$	$\Delta df$	р	Δ CFI
ASC and fixed mindset math	(1) Configural	38.57	18	.003	.991	.051 [.029; .074]	.072					
	(2) Metric	45.16	23	.004	.990	.047 [.026; .068]	.073	(1)	1.36	5	.929	001
	(3) Scalar	49.56	27	.005	.990	.044 [.024; .063]	.074	(2)	4.74	4	.315	0
IM and fixed mindset math	(1) Configural	62.47	18	<.001	.979	.076 [.056; .097]	.060					
	(2) Metric	71.76	23	<.001	.976	.070 [.052; .089]	.060	(1)	0.54	5	.994	003
	(3) Scalar	78.92	27	<.001	.975	.067 [.050; .084]	.061	(2)	7.10	4	.131	001

*Note.* ASC = ability self-concept. IM = intrinsic motivation. Because student's mindset had only two indicators, it was tested for measurement invariance simultaneously with IM and ASC. The covariance between the constructs was fixed to zero. CM = comparison model.

## Table S3

Measurement Invariance Tests for Female vs. Male Adolescents' Ability Self-Concept, Intrinsic Motivation and Mindset in Language Arts

		Model fit							Model comparison				
		X2	df	р	CFI	RMSEA [90% CI]	SRMR	СМ	$\Delta X^2$	$\Delta df$	р	Δ CFI	
ASC and fixed mindset LA	(1) Configural	24.26	18	.149	.996	.028 [.000; .055]	.027						
	(2) Metric	27.70	23	.228	.997	.022 [.022; .047]	.030	(1)	1.11	5	.953	.001	
	(3) Scalar	31.60	27	.247	.997	.020 [.000; .044]	.034	(2)	3.89	4	.422	0	
IM and fixed mindset LA	(1) Configural	24.23	16	.085	.996	.035 [.000; .061]	.025						
	(2) Metric	29.83	21	.095	.996	.031 [.000; .055]	.030	(1)	4.26	5	.513	0	
	(3) Scalar	47.63	25	.004	.989	.046 [.025; .065]	.038	(2)	16.68	4	.002	007	
	(4) Partial scalar <sup>a</sup>	29.25	24	.211	.997	.023 [.000; .047]	.030	(2)	0.58	3	.996	.001	

*Note.* ASC = ability self-concept. IM = intrinsic motivation. LA = German language arts. The first and fourth IM items were allowed to correlate. Because student's mindset had only two indicators, it was tested for measurement invariance simultaneously with IM and ASC. The covariance between the constructs was fixed to zero. CM = comparison model.

<sup>a</sup> The intercept of the fourth IM item was freed.